

**JOINT
STATE CAPITOL COMMITTEE
&
CAPITOL CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
Remote Access Meeting**

**February 27, 2025
3:30 p.m.**

Draft Minutes

SCC MEMBERS PRESENT:

Lieutenant Governor Denny Heck, Chair
Beau Perschbacher, Governor Ferguson's Designee
Randy Bolerjack, for Secretary of State Steve Hobbs
Lenny Young, for Commissioner of Public Lands
Dave Uptegrove

CCDAC MEMBERS PRESENT:

Joel Kiel, Chair, Architect 2
Kristin Glandon, Vice Chair, Landscape Architect
Randy Bolerjack, for Secretary of State Steve Hobbs
Marc Daily, Urban Planner
Representative Joel McEntire
Alex Rolluda, Architect 1

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jo Aiken, Department of Enterprise Services
Cynthia Barrett, Department of Enterprise Services
Olivia Backer, The Miller Hull Partnership
David Baker, Department of Enterprise Services
Cynthia Barrett, Department of Enterprise Services
Emilie Brown, Department of Enterprise Services
George Carter, Department of Enterprise Services
Brent Chapman, Department of Enterprise Services
Tamlyn Crain, House of Representatives
Ashlee Delaney, Department of Enterprise Services
Dawn Eychaner, House of Representatives
Dennis Flynn, Department of Enterprise Services
Bill Frare, Department of Enterprise Services
Valerie Gow, Puget Sound Meeting Services
Craig Gunn, Department of Enterprise Services
Becky Guyer, Department of Enterprise Services
Tamara Hayes, Department of Enterprise Services
Ashley Howard, Department of Enterprise Services
Adam Holdorf, Department of Enterprise Services
Sidney Hunt, Department of Enterprise Services
Rachelle Johnson, Department of Enterprise Services

Matt Jones, Department of Enterprise Services
Linda Kent, Department of Enterprise Services
Wesley Kirkman, Department of Enterprise Services
Kara Klotz, Department of Enterprise Services
Yvonne Knutson, Office of Financial Management
Nouk Leap, Department of Enterprise Services
Jimmy Martin, Department of Enterprise Services
Jen Masterson, Office of Financial Management
Cory Mattheis, The Miller Hull Partnership
Patrick McDonald, Secretary of State
Kyle Overmiller, House of Representatives
Kayla Quinn, Department of Enterprise Services
Dallas Roberts, Office of Lieutenant Governor
Ginny Streeter, Department of Enterprise Services
Brooke Sutherland, Department of Enterprise Services
James Troyer, Washington State Senate
Hillary Thompson
Kirti Vijay, Office of Financial Management
Linda Ward, Office of Financial Management
Oliver Wu, Department of Enterprise Services

Call Meeting to Order - Action

Lieutenant Governor and Chair Denny Heck called the joint State Capitol Committee (SCC) and Capital Campus Design Advisory Committee (CCDAC) meeting to order at 3:32 p.m.

Lieutenant Governor Heck welcomed SCC and CCDAC members.

Members and staff provided self-introduction.

Lieutenant Governor noted the absence of Senator Phil Fortunato and Representative Beth Doglio who has designated Marc Daily to serve as her proxy during the meeting.

Chair Heck reviewed the agenda to approve the SCC December 16, 2024 and the CCDAC November 14, 2024 meeting minutes, action on renaming the Joel Pritchard Library Building, action on the Cal Anderson Memorial design concept, briefing on the Office of Secretary Library Archives Building proposal, update on the relocation of the Whitman Statue, and an update on the Legislative Campus Modernization (LCM) project. Time has been included on the agenda to accept public comments.

Approval of SCC December 16, 2024 Meeting Minutes – Action

Lenny Young requested several corrections to the minutes:

- On page 4 within the second line of the fourth paragraph, change “open” to reflect “openly.”
- On page 4, within the second line of the fifth paragraph, change “camp” to “campus.”
- On page 4, within the fourth line of the sixth paragraph, change “off” to “of.”
- On page 4, within the second line of the seventh paragraph, change “pallet” to “palette.”
- On page 6, within the third line of the third paragraph, change “Firefighter’s” to “Firefighters.”
- On page 6, within the fourth line of the third paragraph, change “Firefighter” to “Firefighters.”
- On page 6, change, “Washington State Firefighters Association” to reflect “Washington State Fire Fighters’ Association.”
- Correct references to Billy Frank, Jr. beginning on page 7, to reflect “Billy Frank Jr.”

Randy Bolerjack moved, seconded by Beau Perschbacher, to approve the December 16, 2024 SCC meeting minutes as amended. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Approval of CCDAC November 14, 2024 Meeting Minutes – Action

Marc Daily moved, seconded by Randy Bolerjack, to approve the November 14, 2024 meeting minutes as presented. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Public Comment Period – Informational

Kayla Quinn, Department of Enterprise Services (DES), described the format for providing comments. Written public comments were received prior to the published deadline of Tuesday, February 25, 2025 by 4 p.m. The public submissions were provided to members of both committees. Four public comments were received by three individuals during the advance public comment period. One individual expressed passion and support of libraries nationwide, one individual supports renaming the Joel Pritchard State Library to Joel Pritchard Building, one individual supported maintaining the Joel Pritchard name for the building to memorialize him on Capitol Campus.

Joel Pritchard State Library Renaming – Action

Linda Kent, Chief External Affairs Office, DES, presented the renaming proposal.

The proposal to rename the Joel Pritchard State Library Building as the Joel Pritchard Building was previously presented to SCC and CCDAC as an informational briefing. The request is to decide whether or not to approve the renaming proposal. DES published notice of the renaming proposal to include a news release and other public communications to advise the public about the renaming proposal and afford an opportunity to provide feedback.

Chair Heck invited CCDAC Chair Kiel to facilitate a discussion by CCDAC members for presentation of a recommendation to the SCC on the renaming recommendation.

Chair Kiel invited comments and feedback on the proposal to rename the Joel Pritchard Library Building.

Marc Daily said the only public comment received conveyed support of the proposal. Given the long history of the building, it would be appropriate to recommend approval of the proposal to rename the building to the Joel Pritchard Building. Several members also expressed support of the proposal.

Mr. Bolerjack added that the State Library resides in the Office of the Secretary of State, which was formerly located in the Joel Pritchard Library Building. The proposal is logical as it eliminates “Library” from the building name and will reduce confusion by visitors to the campus seeking to visit the State Library, which is currently located in facilities within the City of Tumwater. He appreciates consideration of the proposed name change.

Marc Daily moved, seconded by Alex Rolluda, to recommend the SCC approve the renaming recommendation of the Joel Pritchard State Library to the Joel Pritchard Building. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Chair Heck recognized the receipt of the recommendation by the CCDAC to rename the Joel Pritchard State Library and invited discussion or a motion.

Lenny Young moved, seconded by Chair Heck, to approve renaming the Joel Pritchard State Library as the Joel Pritchard Building as recommended by the CCDAC. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Campus Memorials – Cal Anderson Memorial – Action

Ms. Kent provided an update on the proposed design concept for the Cal Anderson Memorial.

The design concept honors Senator Cal Anderson’s legacy and reflects his character by creating a memorial that provides a quiet place for contemplation and complements the historic west Capitol Campus. The location of the memorial was approved by the SCC at its last meeting. The memorial is located east of the Sunken Garden and offers views of the Legislative Building with proximity to walking paths.

To date, 600 hours been invested in thoughtful discussions and planning as well as hosting seven stakeholder meetings and other engagement activities by stakeholders. Ms. Kent thanked all individuals who have participated in the planning process for their wonderful contributions.

Ms. Kent shared an illustration of the preferred design concept stakeholders are recommending. Four designs were considered during the preliminary design phase. The process entailed exploring different bench styles, consideration of including a sculpture element, and different layouts of the memorial. The preferred design concept represents a myriad of deep feelings by the designers and stakeholders represented by a boulder, curved bench, various plant species, and engravings. The design is intended to honor Cal Anderson’s legacy as a beacon for civil rights legislation.

Approximately \$125,000 would be needed to fund the final design with \$312,715 for construction of the memorial and \$16,285 in annual maintenance costs. If the concept is approved, next steps include

approximately eight months of design to include more engagement with stakeholders followed by bidding, permitting, and six months of construction.

The request is for CCDAC to recommend approval of the conceptual design to the SCC. The SCC is asked whether they want to approve the preferred design concept, make alterations, or not approve the concept.

Chair Kiel invited discussion by members of the CCDAC. The committee received a previous briefing on the proposed design concept.

Kristen Glandon commented on the committee's review of the different concepts and the direction of the preferred design. She favors approval of the design concept.

Chair Kiel said the proposed memorial would be well sited on the campus and was appreciative of all input from stakeholders.

Mr. Daily said he was impressed by the presentations the committee received on the memorial and the thought considered during the development of the design concepts. The work is impressive, as the site located next to the Sunken Garden will attract visitors. He supports recommending the design concept to the SCC.

Marc Daily moved, seconded by Alex, Rolluda, to recommend the SCC approve the design concept for the Cal Anderson Memorial as presented by staff. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Chair Heck invited questions and any compliments to DES for guiding both committees through the process. The project began in a dark place and ended up as one of the nicer additions to the Capitol Campus.

Lenny Young moved, seconded by Randy Bolerjack, to approve the recommendation from CCDAC for the design concept for the Cal Anderson Memorial as presented by staff. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Office of Secretary of State (OSOS) Library Archives Building Proposal – Informational

Chair Heck invited Mr. Bolerjack to present the proposal for the new Secretary of State Library Archives Building.

Mr. Bolerjack shared information on progress of discussions with statewide leaders, fiscal and capital budget leaders, Capitol Campus operations leaders, and members of Washington's heritage communities to locate the Library Archives Building at the site of the former General Administration (GA) Building. The Office of Secretary of State (OSOS) is proposing a beautiful, modern purpose-built and publicly accessible building to replace several OSOS buildings in Olympia. Capitol Campus visitors would have a greater opportunity to experience state history by assessing the state's collections. Visitors could then visit the various memorials on the campus on their way to meet with their representatives in state government.

The OSOS was working to develop a library and archives building at a site in Tumwater that would also house the Corporations and Charities Division and numerous statewide programs at the OSOS. That ongoing effort for nearly a decade was complicated by the federal government's required Habitat Conservation Plan designed to protect certain at-risk species. The plan would be administered by the U.S.

Fish and Wildlife Service. At the last meeting with representatives from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, the agency conveyed an unwillingness to estimate a completion date for the plan or consider reasonable exceptions for the project. Secretary Hobbs elected not to continue participating in the lengthy process as costs for labor and materials continued to increase as well as the need for new facilities. At that time, the GA site was suggested.

The GA site presents an opportunity for synergies with ongoing and proposed Capitol Campus projects. DES has proposed a slope stabilization study as well as the demolition of the GA Building for numerous and obvious reasons related to seismic, structural, and other infrastructure issues. The building also lacks an aesthetic charm on an important and visible site on the campus.

Mr. Bolerjack described how a connected project of planning and timelines for the Library and Archives Building and the demolition project would eliminate three steps of a six-step demolition project saving time and money and potential disagreements as to the temporary use of the GA site.

The site fits within Capitol Campus for practicality as well as with respect to the Olmsted features because the site is significant. Mr. Bolerjack displayed an illustration highlighting some significant perspectives through the construction of a more inviting structure visibly providing a more genuine connection to the downtown as intended by the Olmsted brothers because of the publicly accessible nature of the building for community engagement, historical and literary exploration, and meeting spaces. Usage of the site also activates several features of that area of Capitol Campus that he believes are under-appreciated and under-trafficked, such as the Law Enforcement Memorial, the future Cal Anderson Memorial, and the potential Fallen Firefighter Memorial. Creating a new public destination would fully activate that portion of the campus without detracting from the Olmsted vision and design. The building will be designed to add impact in terms of the importance of each element and space within the Capitol Campus as to how each structure is a reflection of the respect for democracy.

The physical space designed at the Tumwater site shifted with the site change. The Tumwater site featured a more horizontal design whereas the GA site is a vertical design. Discussions on the project scope are ongoing through the completion of the feasibility study. Ongoing conversations with DES and others are planned related to needs and costs.

Mr. Bolerjack shared that his focus for the project scope is the core of public service functions within the Office of the Secretary of State, specifically the State Archives, State Library, Corporations and Charities Division, several statewide programs, and support divisions. Several public spaces would be included within the State Archives and State Library and prioritized for more visitor and civic engagement and for supporting campus security. Other opportunities are to be determined and would be constrained by space and cost, as well as parking needs for the new facility especially during legislative sessions. Early massing studies do not include all programming elements, which will be completed in conjunction with the feasibility study scheduled for completion at the end of the legislative session. The Miller Hull team developed many options with assistance from DES staff to identify the size of the facility to be approximately 150,000 square feet. The concepts include a north and south orientation or east and west orientation of the facility that would place the Archives at either north or south on the site or centrally located within the site. Key features of all concepts are a large reading room for the State Library and space in the lobby for various public services focused on civic engagement.

Discussions are ongoing with DES to develop ideas to address parking. Several opportunity sites are located near Capitol Campus that do not necessarily provide the space or other requirements for larger

facilities or meet the scale of the proposed project, but may be a good choice for a combination of surface or structured parking. Any potential site will have costs.

Mr. Bolerjack reviewed a preliminary project schedule. The OSOS is prepared to move to the design phase at the end of the feasibility study. A funding request to the Legislature would be submitted during the 2027-2029 biennium. The OSOS has revenues streams for the purchase and repayment of a Certificate of Participation similar to a mortgage. The revenues are based on lease rates OSOS pays for public and private facilities and document recording fees. Document recording fees are at historic lows because of high interest rates and other challenges in the housing market. The OSOS anticipates a gap between revenues and the total project cost. Staff and capital budget leaders have been in discussions with updates to legislative committees on the project's process. Staff anticipates requesting funding in 2027. The project is also dependent on funding for DES projects to demolish the existing GA Building during the next biennium. If that project is not funded, construction of the Library Archives Building would be delayed by another year and possibly up to two years. The OSOS is supporting funding for DES through the Legislature.

Mr. Bolerjack cited the intent of the Library Archive Building to actively connect Washington State's citizens to the rich history and inclusive future of Washington State by creating a transparent, accessible, and secure building that houses the current and future needs of the Office of the Secretary of State so that the heritage of the past can be appropriately honored, and the future of the state can be responsibly guided, through a symbolically and functionally resilient facility. The GA site is viewed as an incredible opportunity to revitalize a prime site with spectacular views by integrating OSOS state operations under one roof in a beautiful and modern purpose-built facility creating a visible publicly accessible entry point to campus where visitors can learn about the state's history.

Chair Heck inquired as to ways the public would enter the building as he opposes placing another "cubical farm" on west Capitol Campus. Any building on the site must have a robust set of opportunities for the public to enjoy the building. Mr. Bolerjack agreed and shared information on the accessibility of the building. The State Library is a publicly accessible facility providing a significant reading room for people to access collections unique to Washington State, particularly collections selected by state librarians. Elements of the state's history are contained within the State Archives with a research room available for individuals to review archived documents with support from state archivists. The OSOS supports many programs on the history and impact of individuals within the state including Legacy Washington, a collaborative venture creating exhibits and books on important elements of the state's history. A recent exhibit celebrated the 10-year anniversary of marriage equality with a book and exhibit. The space provides an opportunity for similar exhibits attracting the public. The facility would also provide meeting and conference space accessible to the public.

Chair Heck referred to the room within the Archives and asked about opportunities for the public. Mr. Bolerjack explained that the State Archives includes a research room designed to handle archival collections that should not be removed from the facility, as well as providing a viewpoint affording regular opportunities for the public to review various collections. The facility includes much public space focused on education based on the collections. State Archives partners with DES through its visitor's program for both tours and information on the history of the campus and the state. The partnership provides an ongoing opportunity for a variety of exhibits and features in those spaces.

Chair Heck commented that the current GA Building has a substantial meeting room that was substantially used. He asked whether the new facility's meeting room would be larger than a conference room. Mr. Bolerjack said the programming includes large meeting space with specifics of the size defined during

design; however, the intent is to provide space for groups of significant size that would accommodate all OSOS employees at various times or space that could accommodate more than 100 people.

Mr. Daily recalled a presentation several years ago on the demolition of the site and some conceptual drawings of a building. He asked whether that information has been reviewed by the OSOS and whether it would benefit the project. Mr. Bolerjack responded that the project is currently in the feasibility study phase, which is similar to the predesign process. Many documents have been obtained from the last several decades to include many studies for many projects to incorporate the information into the planning for the proposed OSOS project.

Mr. Daily noted that the site has some spectacular views. He stressed the importance of incorporating public space facing the lakeside as the views of the lake, bay, and the Olympics are incredible.

Bill Frare, DES FPS Assistant Director, acknowledged a number of studies of the site dating back to the Heritage Center proposal in 2006 when DES was considering the OSOS Library Archives Building. A predesign considered three locations of the GA site, a site at Department of Ecology in Lacey, and a site in Tumwater. At that time, the GA site was not selected as the preferred alternative and no detailed analysis was completed. Following the decision to site the OSOS building in Tumwater, the Office of the Insurance Commissioner and DCYF collaborated to create a predesign for a combined building on the GA site. The predesign was completed; however, the Legislature elected not to fund the project but directed DES to ensure all prior information completed on the GA site was included in the OSOS feasibility study. Challenges of the site that must be considered include the viewscape from the location as well as viewsapes to the site, parking implications, current and future access to the building, and existing underground utilities that may create complication. DES is using all available information developed pertinent to the site to inform the OSOS feasibility study.

Beau Perschbacher inquired about the projected project cost. Mr. Bolerjack said the estimated project cost would be part of the feasibility study. The committee will receive a future briefing on the project cost.

Mr. Young asked for information on the slope stabilization assessment in terms of the purpose of the study and future outcomes. Mr. Frare advised that the slope is currently stabilized by soldier pile walls and decking installed several years ago. Those stabilization measures are nearing the end of system life. As DES moved forward on the demolition project, repair of the existing hillside stabilization system was considered rather than another major project meeting current standards for slope stabilization because at that time, no future building on the site had been proposed or identified. With the current OSOS proposal, the size, weight, dimensions, and the forces contributing to the hillside will be identified to enable design of a new retaining wall system at the location to support the building.

Mr. Young asked whether there is any interface between the proposed stabilization project and the proposed restoration of the estuary. Mr. Frare affirmed that there is no interface between the projects.

Chair Heck recalled the Heritage Center proposal as a substantial project that would have directly impacted the hillside as opposed to the new proposal. Mr. Frare replied that he reviewed the Heritage plans that included building floors imbedded within the slope of the hillside serving as a method of stabilization with access to the building from Heritage Park. The proposal reflected a grand vision for the site.

Mr. Rolluda said the CCDAC could benefit from receiving information on the early massing concepts through the studies and the site analysis completed by Miller Hull on massing options, view corridors, shade shadows, and pedestrian and vehicular traffic, etc., that influenced the outcome of the conceptual

design to assist in understanding the development of the project. Additionally, the existing building is over 50 years old and could be considered an historic building. He asked whether the site would be self-nominated as non-historic by DES. Mr. Frare affirmed that the site has been deemed as non-historic because building systems are not functional or do not meet standards for seismic, HVAC, and elevators. Rehabilitation of the building would not be feasible.

Mr. Rolluda asked about any existing elements within the existing building that could or should be preserved or salvaged and relocated to the new building or another project. Mr. Frare said the large mural has been relocated to the Helen Sommers Building. Other artwork is the state logo on the front of the building, which speaks to efforts to consider how to preserve the art and perhaps incorporate it within the new building.

Mr. Bolerjack said that at another presentation, DES spoke to several existing features that should be preserved. The state seal will be preserved and reused. As the OSOS is the custodian of the state seal, the seal is important and would be incorporated within the new structure.

Chair Heck noted that the site is the last site that would likely house a new building on the west campus. As a practical matter, the project is likely the one that will be built; however, the site is important in terms of its viewscape as well as the last surviving buildable property on campus. It would be a crime to build a cubical barn on that site. The Capitol Campus in Olympia is sacred ground and serves as the state's altar to democracy and the public's manifestation of its belief that it is possible for self-governing. More people visit Capitol Campus than any other site between Seattle and Portland, which is why he believes that any building on the site should not be a cubicle farm but should have a very large component of opportunities for the public to participate whether it entails another large meeting room or access within the building to view archival activities or exhibits. The OSOS has hosted informative and important exhibits in the front office and would have more space at the new facility.

Chair Heck thanked Mr. Bolerjack for the briefing.

Chair Heck welcomed Mr. Perschbacher to the committee as a new member.

Chair Heck reported on a proposed bill to reform the SCC. It is likely the bill will not proceed; however, the draft would eliminate the SCC, which he supports because it is important to resolve issues surrounding the purpose of the committee or eliminate the committee. He thanked DES staff for their support, collaboration, and partnership, to CCDAC members for their service to the state and communities and for their technical and professional expertise, and to members of the SCC for their service.

Chair Heck adjourned the SCC portion of the meeting at 4:36 p.m.

CCDAC Chair Kiel presided over the remainder of the meeting.

Whitman Statue Relocation Update – Informational

Ms. Kent reported the Whitman statue is currently located on the second floor of the Legislative Building in the north entry and will be displaced with a statue of Billy Frank Jr. to reflect the state's contribution to National Statuary Hall, is a chamber in the United States Capitol devoted to sculptures of prominent Americans, and based on legislative direction in House Bill 1372. The Billy Frank Jr. statue is expected for delivery to both the state and to the U.S. Capitol following production by a foundry.

The Marcus Whitman statue will remain in the Legislative Building. DES studied three relocation sites within the building. The first location is on the third floor outside the Senate Chambers, the second site is

on the third floor outside the House Chambers, and the third site is at the south portico exterior. The study examined architectural and engineering records. Physical boring and testing were not completed to confirm materials or confirmation of underground conditions. Additional study is recommended for some of the options. The level of completed study is typical for a feasibility study.

Moving the statue is not a simple task because of its size and weight. The architect's previous estimate of the total weight of the statue was over 14,000 pounds using historical data, 3D scans, and data on the statue surface area to calculate weight. Another estimate of the statue's weight totaled over 9,000 pounds. In both calculations of the stone base, the weight is over 6,000 pounds. The 11-1/2 foot tall statue contributes to the difficulty of moving the statue to a different location. The base of the statue is 2-1/2 feet in height. Not only would the statue need to be accommodated at a new location, moving it without damaging the statue or any historic building features within the Legislative Building are also other moving considerations.

Ms. Kent shared photos of the site options on the third floor. The report determined neither of the options on the third floor would be feasible. The study was unable to identify a process to move the statue safely to the third floor because of its size and weight regardless of whether the statue was separated from its base. More work would be required to verify load capacity of the floor and the building would need to be closed to accommodate construction of scaffolding to move the statue.

The third option of the south portico exterior has no ceiling or height issues; however, the architectural material consulted contained conflicting information about the ground beneath the slab, which may be solid ground or ground over a steam tunnel. If the ground is over a steam tunnel, other options would be required such as offsetting the placement of the statue to avoid placement over the tunnel or placing the statue over a load-bearing masonry wall and reinforcing the tunnel to protect underground utilities.

DES is conducting a surface penetrating radar survey of the slab to confirm the status of the ground. More information will be available following completion of the survey. Additionally, potential vandalism and environmental exposures must be considered with respect to the portico location. The portico option is estimated to cost \$115,000 for relocating the statue and does not include any additional reinforcement if necessary.

Kristen Glandon requested a recap on the need for moving the Whitman statue. Ms. Kent explained that the Billy Frank Jr. statue as directed by HB 1372 is the state's contribution to National Statuary Hall. Statues in the Legislative Building mirror statues placed in National Statuary Hall in Washington, D.C. Following completion, the Billy Frank Jr. statue will be placed in the Legislature Building occupying the current site of the Marcus Whitman statue. The legislation did not include any direction to move the Whitman statue outside of the building. DES sought interpretation which determined that the statue would continue to reside within the Legislative Building.

Legislative Campus Modernization (LCM) Project – Informational

Sidney Hunt, Pritchard Building Project Manager, updated members on the timeline of the LCM project using a chart depicting the timeline of the three major projects. The Newhouse Building Replacement project attained substantial completion in December 2024. The Pritchard Building Rehabilitation and Expansion Project is actively underway. The O'Brien Renovation Project is on hold until after the 2026 legislative session.

DES and Senate partners hosted a grand opening of the Newhouse Building in early December. Congressman Dan Newhouse and approximately 20 members of the Newhouse family participated in the

event in addition to many other state executives, Senators, and support staff. Senate members and staff moved into the building in November/December 2024 with DES receiving the Certificate of Occupancy from the City of Olympia on January 31, 2025. Closeout work continues on the project with an expected completion date of mid-summer. Mr. Hunt shared several photographs of completed building elevations and the ribbon cutting during the grand opening event.

The Pritchard Building project is on track for completion by January 31, 2026. Recent successes include the completion of the hillside stabilization permit with the City of Olympia in January 2025 and completion of excavation and foundations for the west half of the new addition on the site. Current work includes remaining excavation and foundations for the east site of the new expansion that will continue through mid-April 2025 despite encountering soft soil and foundations from previous structures on the site. Installation of structural steel began in January and is expected to continue through June. Work on rebar and form installation for exterior walls continues. In mid-March, exterior concrete for the basement and shear walls will be poured. Mr. Hunt shared a series of photographs of phases of completion.

Future Announcements and Adjournment of Meeting – Action

Information on future meetings for CCDAC and SCC is published on the DES website with meeting information, meeting dates, and meeting times. DES posts all meeting agendas, minutes, and meeting packets as they become available. The next CCDAC meeting is scheduled on Thursday, May 22, 2025 at 10 a.m. The next SCC meeting is scheduled on Thursday, June 26, 2025 at 10 a.m. Both meetings will be held remotely.

With there being no further business, Chair Kiel adjourned the meeting at 4:51 p.m.

Puget Sound Meeting Services, psmsoly@earthlink.net