| Date: 9/26/24 Public Agency: Lake Stevens School District | | | GC/CM | Χ | Approved | | Χ |
|--|---|---|---------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|--------|
| | | | DB | | Denie | Denied | |
| PRC I | Member: | Alexis Blue | Both | | | | |
| | | Certification E | Evaluation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 | must pass | s to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | | the necessary experience to det r use of alternative contracting p | | s projects a | ire | X | |
| В. Ар | plicant has | necessary qualifications and expocedure including: (Must pass all | perience to carry c | ut the alter | native | | |
| | • . | livery knowledge and experience | • | | | X | |
| | | with appropriate construction ex | • | | | | > |
| | • | ment plan and rationale for alter | • | s projects; | | X | |
| | | ated success in managing public | | ala alba ar la ca | 4 4 | X | |
| | limited to, | oility to properly manage its capit appropriate project planning and | budgeting experi- | ence; and | | X | |
| 6. | 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as | | | | 'as | X | |
| 7. | applicable) within the past five (5) years.7. Has the ability to meet requirements of RCW 39.10. | | | | | X | |
| | | as resolved any audit findings re | | proiects. | ; | X | |
| Rea Onl | ason for Det y one perso | ation by Committee Member termination: on employed at the agency with a susing the word "personnel". | appropriate constru | uction expe | rience and | B2 impli | es |
| Obs | servations/C | Concerns: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sign | ature / | p Bul_ | | | | | |

| Date: | 09/26/2024 | GC/CM | X | Appro | ved | |
|--|---|------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District | DB | | Denied | | |
| PRC Member: | Garett Buckingam | Both | | | | |
| | Certification E | valuation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | s to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fa |
| • • | the necessary experience to determine to determine the ruse of alternative contracting programmers. | | s projects are | | х | |
| B. Applicant has | necessary qualifications and expocedure including: (Must pass all 7 | erience to carry o | ut the alternat | tive | | |
| • | livery knowledge and experience | | | | Х | |
| | with appropriate construction ex ment plan and rationale for altern | | s projects: | | х | |
| • | ated success in managing public | • | s projects, | | ^ X | |
| 5. Has the al | oility to properly manage its capita | al facilities plan ind | | ot | х | |
| • | limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as | | | | | |
| | applicable) within the past five (5) years. | | | | | |
| | oility to meet requirements of RC\ as resolved any audit findings rel | | orojects | <u> </u> | Х | |
| Reason for Det The District experience. W | ation by Committee Member termination: thas done a great job on one prophile they did a great job on that prophed to have more projects under | roject and I would | expect they v | will do we | ll in futu | <u>ıre</u> |
| Observations/C | Concerns: | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Garett Bucking Signature | ham | | | | | |

GENERAL PUBLIC OWER

| Date: | 09 - 26 - 2024 | GC/CM / | Approved | |
|--|--|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Public Agency: | LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DIST. | DB | Denied | / |
| PRC Member: | 1 13A CORCORAN | Both | | |
| | | | | |
| | Certification Evalu | ation Criteria | | |
| All 3 must pass | to Pass. | | Pass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to determine | | е | |
| | r use of alternative contracting proceduncessary qualifications and experience | | native | |
| | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pa | ss) | r | |
| The second secon | livery knowledge and experience; with appropriate construction experien | nce: | | (NOW) |
| 3. A manage | ment plan and rationale for alternative | public works projects; | V | <u>/</u> |
| | ated success in managing public works bility to properly manage its capital facil | | not V | |
| | appropriate project planning and budge | • | | |
| | ated success in managing at least one) within the past five (5) years. | GC/CM or DB project (a | as / | |
| | pility to meet requirements of RCW 39. | 10. | | |
| C. Public Body h | as resolved any audit findings relative t | to previous projects. | | |
| Overall Evalua | ation by Committee Member | | | |
| Reason for Det | | | | |
| DEPTH T | U OWNER ORGANIZATION IS | 1 8004 816. | | |
| | N VWNER UNDER LOCALITY | LACCENTA | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Observations/C | Concerns: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Date: Public Agency: PRC Member: | 12ke Stepens School Marvin Dostgr | GC/CM DB Both | Approved Denied | <u> </u> |
|---|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Certification Evalu | ation Criteria | | |
| appropriate fo B. Applicant has contracting pro 1. Project de 2. Personnel 3. A manage 4. Demonstra 5. Has the ablimited to, 6. Demonstra applicable, 7. Has the ab | the hecessary experience to determine ruse of alternative contracting procedure necessary qualifications and experience ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pallivery knowledge and experience; with appropriate construction experient ment plan and rationale for alternative ated success in managing public works polity to properly manage its capital facility appropriate project planning and budge ated success in managing at least one of within the past five (5) years. Dility to meet requirements of RCW 39. The property of the past five (6) years. | ares. the to carry out the alternations) ace; public works projects; a projects; lities plan including, but noteting experience; and GC/CM or DB project (as | tive Me Ve | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |
| Reason for Det Just not an agen Observations/O | enough personnel en | rate in DEL | justify profermance | |

| Date: | 9/26/2024 | GC/CM | X | Approved | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|---|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District | DB | | Denied | X | | | | |
| PRC Member: | Jeff Gonzalez | Both | | | | | | | |
| Certification Evaluation Criteria | | | | | | | | | |

All 3 must pass to Pass.

- A. Applicant has the necessary experience to determine which of its projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.
- B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experience to carry out the alternative contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pass)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Personnel with appropriate construction experience;
 - 3. A management plan and rationale for alternative public works projects;
 - 4. Demonstrated success in managing public works projects;
 - 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and
 - 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as applicable) within the past five (5) years.
 - 7. Has the ability to meet requirements of RCW 39.10.
- C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| | _ |
|-------------|---|
| X | |
| | Х |
| Х | |
| | Х |
| X | |
| X | |
| X X X | |
| X | |
| Х | |
| X | |

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

| Applicant was not able to demonstrate enough depth of experience for certification. |
|--|
| |
| Observations/Concerns: |
| While the owner had a knowledgeable individual based on one complex GC/CM project, they utilized consultants to supplement the project team. This is appropriate when seeking individual project |
| approvals when additional experience is necessary or desired. I would encourage the applicant to |
| come back for individual approvals while gaining more experience. And with more internal experience the applicant should come back for certification approval. |
| the applicant should doline back for obtaindation approval. |

Signature Sangel

| Date: September 26, 2024 | | GC/CM | Χ | Appro | oved | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|------|------|
| Public Agency: Lake Stevens School District | | DB | | Denie | ed | X | |
| PRC Member: Gina M. Hortillosa Both | | | | | | | |
| | | Certification Ev | aluation Cri | teria | | | |
| AII 3 | B must pass | to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | | the necessary experience to deter | | s projects | are | | Х |
| | • • | r use of alternative contracting pro necessary qualifications and expe | | ut the alte | ernative | | |
| C | ontracting pro | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 i | | | Γ | | |
| | • | livery knowledge and experience; with appropriate construction expe | erience: | | | X | Х |
| | | ment plan and rationale for alterna | | s projects | ; | X | |
| | • | ated success in managing public w | • | . , | | Х | |
| 5 | Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and | | | | | X | |
| 6 | 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as applicable) within the past five (5) years. | | | | t (as | Х | |
| | . Has the ab | pility to meet requirements of RCW | | | | Х | |
| C. F | Public Body h | as resolved any audit findings rela | tive to previous _l | orojects. | | X | |
| | verall Evalua eason for Det | ation by Committee Member ermination: | | | | | |
| | | Not enough experience by owr | ner personnel. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| OI | bservations/C | Concerns: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Revised 7/23/2023

Signature! Hortilloa

| Date: | 9/26/24 | GC/CM | | Approved | V |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|----------|------|
| Public Agency: | LAKE STEVENS S.D. | DB | | Denied | |
| PRC Member: | DAVEJOHOSOD | Both | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Certification Eva | aluation Cr | iteria | | |
| All 3 must pass | to Pass. | | | Pass | Fail |
| A. Applicant has | the necessary experience to detern | | ts projects are | | |
| | ruse of alternative contracting proc necessary qualifications and experi | | out the alternation | VO. | |
| | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to | | out the alternati | ve | |
| | ivery knowledge and experience; | | | | |
| | with appropriate construction exper ment plan and rationale for alternati | | s projects: | | |
| | ited success in managing public wo | The state of the s | 6. 6. 6. 6. | V | |
| | ility to properly manage its capital f appropriate project planning and bu | | 0, | t | |
| | ited success in managing at least o | | | | |
| | within the past five (5) years. | 20.40 | | | ×7. |
| | ility to meet requirements of RCW (as resolved any audit findings relativ | | projects | | |
| | and the second s | re to provious | projecto. | | |
| Overall Evalua Reason for Dete | tion by Committee Member | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| WHILE | DISTRICT ONLY HAS I | GYCM P | ROSECT, T | HEY ME | |
| THECK | TOPIC FOR CERTIFICA | -10N | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Observations/C | oncerns: | | | | |
| | | | | : | |
| | | | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5() | | | | | |
| Signature | | | | | |
| Signature | | | | | |

| Public Agency: Lake Stevens 5, D. PRC Member: Jeff Jurgensen Certification Eval | DB De Both | proved nied |
|---|---|--|
| All 3 must pass to Pass. A. Applicant has the necessary experience to determing appropriate for use of alternative contracting process. B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experies contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Fig. 1). Project delivery knowledge and experience; 2. Personnel with appropriate construction experience; 3. A management plan and rationale for alternative 4. Demonstrated success in managing public works. 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital fall limited to, appropriate project planning and bude 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least on applicable) within the past five (5) years. 7. Has the ability to meet requirements of RCW 39. C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to the project planning and bude in the past five (5) years. Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: | dures. nce to carry out the alternative Pass) ence; re public works projects; ks projects; cilities plan including, but not lgeting experience; and e GC/CM or DB project (as 9.10. e to previous projects. | Pass Fail X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X |
| Observations/Concerns: While the law says Lave any personnel to support Twould like them to come back design bid build. | completed project the Rob. With a co Most of Lessons 1 | uple more project |

| Date: 9/26/2024 GC/CM | | | | Approved | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------|--|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District | DB | | Denied | X | |
| PRC Member: Karl Kolb Both | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Certification E | valuation Cri | iteria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | s to Pass. | | | Pass | Fail | |
| | the necessary experience to dete | | s projects | are X | | |
| B. Applicant has | or use of alternative contracting pr necessary qualifications and exp | erience to carry o | out the alte | ernative | Х | |
| | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 elivery knowledge and experience | | | X | | |
| • | I with appropriate construction exp | | | X | X | |
| _ | ement plan and rationale for altern | • | s projects | | | |
| | ated success in managing public | • • | ala alba a la | X | | |
| | Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and | | | | | |
| 6. Demonstr | ated success in managing at leas | 0 0 . | - | | | |
| • • | e) within the past five (5) years. bility to meet requirements of RC\ | N 30 10 | | X | | |
| | as resolved any audit findings rel | | projects. | <u> </u> | | |
| · | , | | | | | |
| Overall Evaluate Reason for De | ation by Committee Member | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| - | perience and lacking specific staff | | | | ing ke | |
| personnel as n | eeded. RCW 39.10 requires only | one prior GC/CM | l past proj | ect, but the depth of | | |
| experience by | internal staff is not adequate for a | igency approval. | | | | |
| Observations/0 | Panaarna: | | | | | |
| Observations/C | Joncerns: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1/ 0 | | | | | | |
| Kal | akolb | | | | | |

| Date: | GC/CM | Χ | X Approved | | | |
|--|---|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------|
| Public Agency: | DB | | Deni | ed | | |
| PRC Member: | Art McCluskey | Both | | | | |
| | Certification Ev | aluation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | s to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to deter | | s projects | are | Х | |
| appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experience to carry out the alternative contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pass) | | | | | | |
| • | livery knowledge and experience; | | | | X | |
| | with appropriate construction exponent plan and rationale for alterna | | e projecte | | X | |
| · · | ated success in managing public w | • | s projects | , | X | |
| 5. Has the al | 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and | | | | | |
| 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as applicable) within the past five (5) years. | | | | | Х | |
| • • | oility to meet requirements of RCW | / 39.10. | | | X | |
| | as resolved any audit findings rela | | projects. | <u>i</u> | X | |
| Reason for Det | ets RCW requirements | | | | | |
| Observations/C | Concerns: e in GC/CM (one prior project), ag | ency staff has n | o GC/CM | experience | (only thro | ough |
| consultants), g | ood promotion of team concept | | | | | |
| Art McC | luskey | | | | | |

| Date: Public Agency: PRC Member: | 9-26-24 Lake Stevens SD Bret Miche | GC/CM _ DB _ Both | | Approved Denied | \geq |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------|--------|
| | Certification Eva | luation Crit | teria | | |
| appropriate for B. Applicant has contracting properties of the contraction of the c | s to Pass. If the necessary experience to determ or use of alternative contracting process necessary qualifications and experience rocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to be elivery knowledge and experience; all with appropriate construction experience rated success in managing public wor bility to properly manage its capital far appropriate project planning and but rated success in managing at least or all within the past five (5) years. bility to meet requirements of RCW 3 has resolved any audit findings relative ation by Committee Member | edures. ence to carry ou Pass) ience; ve public works rks projects; acilities plan inc dgeting experie ne GC/CM or D | ut the alterna s projects; cluding, but rence; and B project (as | not X | Fail |
| Observations/O | | | | | |

| Date: | 9/26/24 GC/CM X App | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District #4 DB Denied | | | | | |
| PRC Member: Heather Munden Both | | | | | | |
| | Certification Ev | aluation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | s to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to deter ruse of alternative contracting pro | | s projects | are | Х | |
| B. Applicant has | necessary qualifications and expe | rience to carry o | ut the alte | ernative | Х | |
| Project de | livery knowledge and experience; | | | | Х | |
| | with appropriate construction exponent plan and rationale for alternational | | n nroinata | | X | |
| • | ated success in managing public w | • | s projects | , | X | |
| 5. Has the al | 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and | | | | | |
| 6. Demonstra | ated success in managing at least) within the past five (5) years. | • • • | | | Х | |
| | pility to meet requirements of RCW | / 39.10. | | | Х | |
| C. Public Body h | as resolved any audit findings rela | tive to previous p | orojects. | | X | |
| Reason for Det | ria to be approved, however there | is only one prev | ious, thou | igh very com | plicated, | GCCM |
| Observations/C | Concerns: | | | | | |
| Staff has a lot of | of DBB experience and limited GC | CM experience. | May want | to get staff s | set up wi | <u>th</u> |
| GCCM mentor | or advisor as you go forward. | | | | | |
| <u>Heather Mu</u> Signature | nden | | | | | |

| Date: Public Agency: PRC Member: | 12/024 12/05/12/05/55/12 12/05/12/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/05/ | GC/CM DB Both | <u>×</u> | Approve Denied | ed | |
|--|---|---------------------|---|---------------------------|----------|------|
| | Certification Eva | luation Cri | iteria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | to Pass. | | | F | ass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to determ r use of alternative contracting proce | | s projects are | | X | |
| | necessary qualifications and experiencedure including: (Must pass all 7 to l | | out the alternativ | /e | | X |
| Project del | livery knowledge and experience; with appropriate construction experi | | | | X | |
| 3. A manage | ment plan and rationale for alternativ | e public work | s projects; | | X | |
| | ated success in managing public wor pility to properly manage its capital fa | | cluding, but not | | X | |
| | appropriate project planning and bud ated success in managing at least on | | | | X | |
| applicable) | within the past five (5) years. willity to meet requirements of RCW 3 | | | | X | |
| | as resolved any audit findings relative | | projects. | | X | 1 |
| Crest Colored Color | ressons learned on a | Me agev | NEY | | <u>S</u> | |
| Signature | PM's in agency will sons learned from much of low bid | Lucy/ec | in anyed cun project f; no ex dge dem ons learn e methor | iject i ample anstr | atec | 1 |

| Date: September 26,2024 GC/CM X | | | | Appr | oved | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------------|------------|------|------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens | DB | | Denied | | |
| PRC Member: Jeannie Natta Both | | | | | | |
| | Certification | Evaluation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to one of the contracting the contrac | | s projects | are | X | |
| B. Applicant has | necessary qualifications and encedure including: (Must pass a | experience to carry o | ut the alte | rnative | | X |
| • | ivery knowledge and experier | | | | X | |
| | with appropriate construction ment plan and rationale for alt | • | s projects: | | | X |
| • | A management plan and rationale for alternative public works projects; Demonstrated success in managing public works projects; Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and | | | | | |
| limited to, a | | | | | | |
| | ited success in managing at le within the past five (5) years. | |)B project | (as | X | |
| • • • • • • | ility to meet requirements of F | | | | Х | |
| C. Public Body ha | as resolved any audit findings | relative to previous p | orojects. | | X | |
| Reason for Dete | e district demonstrated one sunsultants to support their tean | - | | | | ence |
| Observations/C | oncerns: | | | | | |

Signature

Jeannie Natta

| Date: | 9/26/2024 | GC/CM | | Appro | oved | V |
|-----------------|--|------------|------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District | -DB | | Denie | ed | |
| PRC Member: | Pon Paananen | Both | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Certification Evalu | ation Crit | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to determine | | projects are | | / | |
| | r use of alternative contracting procedu necessary qualifications and experience | | ut the alternati | ve | | |
| contracting pr | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pa | | | Γ | V | |
| | livery knowledge and experience; with appropriate construction experier | ice. | | | V | |
| | ment plan and rationale for alternative | | projects; | | V | |
| | ated success in managing public works | | | | V | F. 11, 121 |
| | oility to properly manage its capital faci appropriate project planning and budge | | | t | | |
| 6. Demonstra | ated success in managing at least one | | | | ,/ | |
| |) within the past five (5) years. pility to meet requirements of RCW 39. | 10 | | | | |
| | as resolved any audit findings relative | | rojects. | 7 - L | | |
| Overall Evalua | ation by Committee Member | | | | | |
| Reason for Det | | | | | | |
| Although A | he applicant has limited har | CM expel | rie al Mu | n ch- | 12 the | |
| regional 1 | be applicant has limited he project. The school district | bac the | leadership | neesce | a ho | |
| manage a p | regran that includes blem as a | deliver | mehod. | |) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Observations/C | Concerns: | | | | | |
| not staffin | goold be an issue. The | use of a | nsoltants | is | | |
| | y but the District should allo | | | | | |
| resauces a | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | |
| Alama Signature | Egenti | | | | | |
| Signature | | | | | | |

| Public Agency: 1 1/2 Sterrors Ochool Barrier | proved | 7 |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Certification Evaluation Criteria | | |
| All 3 must pass to Pass. A. Applicant has the necessary experience to determine which of its projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experience to carry out the alternative contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pass) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience; 2. Personnel with appropriate construction experience; 3. A management plan and rationale for alternative public works projects; 4. Demonstrated success in managing public works projects; 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as applicable) within the past five (5) years. 7. Has the ability to meet requirements of RCW 39.10. C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | Pass Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | Fail |
| Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: Some claps in application personnel experience. ALSD, Project DB&I MB&I MB&I MB& Project "Champion" Listed on application or present at meeting. His im That key personnel belisted on Application or at least to Observations/Concerns: Outreach was appreciated on application for effective and it is in the content of the conten | not Portant e presu | _ - n T . |
| Atthough it is in my observation team was not prepared for GCICM Certification with experimentation PRC QUESTIONS. Cottina M. Patton. Signature | | |

| Date: | 9/26/24 | GC/CM XX | Approved X |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens SD | DB | Denied |
| PRC Member: | Mike Pellitteri | Both | · · |

Certification Evaluation Criteria

All 3 must pass to Pass.

- A. Applicant has the necessary experience to determine which of its projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.
- B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experience to carry out the alternative contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pass)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Personnel with appropriate construction experience;
 - 3. A management plan and rationale for alternative public works projects;
 - 4. Demonstrated success in managing public works projects;
 - 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital facilities plan including, but not limited to, appropriate project planning and budgeting experience; and
 - 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as applicable) within the past five (5) years.
 - 7. Has the ability to meet requirements of RCW 39.10.
- C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

Lake Stevens SD seems to have all of the right answers. Good presentation. They seem to have a good handle on the issues.

Observations/Concerns:

I think it would be a good idea for the SD to get some inhouse staff that is knowledgeable in GCCM to augment their use of outside CM firms.

| Date: | GC/CM | X | Appro | oved | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens SD | DB | B Denied | | | Х |
| PRC Member: Traci Rogstad Both | | | | | | |
| | Certification | on Evaluation Cı | riteria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | s to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| • | the necessary experience to | o determine which of | its projects | s are | | - un |
| | r use of alternative contract | | aut tha alt | omostiva | X | |
| | necessary qualifications an ocedure including: (Must pas | | out the alt | emauve | | |
| • | livery knowledge and exper | | | | Х | |
| | with appropriate construction | • | ka projecto | | X | |
| • | ment plan and rationale for ated success in managing p | • | ks projects | Ρ, | X | |
| 5. Has the at | pility to properly manage its | capital facilities plan i | | | X | |
| | appropriate project planning ated success in managing a | | | I | | |
| applicable |) within the past five (5) yea | rs. | DD projec | 1 (40 | Х | |
| | oility to meet requirements o | | | <u></u> | X | |
| C. Public Body n | as resolved any audit finding | gs relative to previous | s projects. | | X | |
| Overall by Cor Reason for Det | mmittee Member rermination: I voted yes, as the SD c | ombined with consulta | ants have a | a solid experi | enced te | am and |
| at least one co | mpleted and complicated pr | | | | | |
| | ents for agency certification | - | | | , | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Observations/C | Concerns: | | | | | |
| Overall the | panel seem to feel that the | minimal experience co | ombined w | ith limited dis | strict staf | f was |
| not enough for | agency approval. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Date: 9/26/2024 GC/CM X Approved | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Public Agency: Lake Stevens School District DB | | | | | ed | X |
| PRC Member: Vicky Schiantarelli Both | | | | | | |
| | Certification E | valuation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | | | | | Pass | Fail |
| - | the necessary experience to dete | ermine which of its | s project | s are | X | |
| | r use of alternative contracting pr | | out the ele | tornotivo | ^ | |
| | necessary qualifications and expocedure including: (Must pass all 7 | | out the ai | lemalive | | X |
| • | livery knowledge and experience | | | | | Х |
| | with appropriate construction exp ment plan and rationale for altern | · | s project | e. | | X |
| • | ated success in managing public | • | 3 project | 3, | Х | |
| 5. Has the at | oility to properly manage its capita | al facilities plan in | | | Х | |
| | appropriate project planning and ated success in managing at leas | • • • | | | | |
| applicable |) within the past five (5) years. | | , p. e.je. | . (40 | Х | |
| | oility to meet requirements of RCV | | nroiooto | <u></u> | 1 | X |
| C. Public Body n | as resolved any audit findings rela | ative to previous | projects. | | X | |
| Overall Evalua Reason for Det | ation by Committee Member ermination: | | | | | |
| Total reliance o | on one senior employee. No clea | r management pla | an to trar | nsfer expertise | in a cor | nsisten |
| fashion with sta | aff vs. consultants. A clear plan w | ould alleviate co | ncern to | manage a pro | ogram. N | <u> </u> |
| specific training | g plan for younger staff coming in. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Observations/C | Concerns: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Vicky Sch | hiantarelli | | | | | |
| Signature | | | | | | |

| Date: Public Agency: PRC Member: 9262024 LAVE STEWES MIVE D SHINK | GC/CM DB Both | <u> </u> | Appro Denie | | |
|---|--|---|----------------|----------------------------|------|
| Certification Eva | luation Cr | iteria | | | |
| All 3 must pass to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| A. Applicant has the necessary experience to determ appropriate for use of alternative contracting process. B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experience contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience; 2. Personnel with appropriate construction experions. 3. A management plan and rationale for alternative. 4. Demonstrated success in managing public words. 5. Has the ability to properly manage its capital fall limited to, appropriate project planning and but to applicable) within the past five (5) years. 7. Has the ability to meet requirements of RCW 3. C. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative. Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: THEY CAN HILE OUTSIDE | edures. ence to carry of Pass) rience; ve public workerks projects; acilities plan in dgeting experne GC/CM or 39.10. we to previous | out the alterna ks projects; ncluding, but n ience; and DB project <i>(as</i> | tive | X X X X X X | |
| Observations/Concerns: No Concerns Millianianianianianianianianianianianianiani | | | | | |

| Date: Public Agency: PRC Member: | The Stevens acres | GC/CM DB Both | Appro Denie | | × |
|---|---|---|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Certification Evalu | uation Criteria | | | |
| appropriate f B. Applicant has contracting p 1. Project de 2. Personne 3. A manag 4. Demonst 5. Has the a limited to 6. Demonst applicable 7. Has the a | s to Pass. Is the necessary experience to determine or use of alternative contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Parallelivery knowledge and experience; all with appropriate construction experience ement plan and rationale for alternative rated success in managing public work ability to properly manage its capital fact, appropriate project planning and budgerated success in managing at least one possibility to meet requirements of RCW 39 mas resolved any audit findings relative | dures. nce to carry out the alternal ence; e public works projects; as projects; cilities plan including, but n geting experience; and e GC/CM or DB project (as | not | Pass | Fail |
| Peruna | Tation by Committee Member etermination: UN MANUWS PAPERTS TO PAST THEM TO PAST THEM TO PAST | ABSCILLT ON AF CONTRACTOR DP FORECAT BY DRE 374 QUESTIAL | - - | 7204 - 121 1 10 | Color of the color |

| Da | ate: | 9/26/2024 | GC/CM | X | Appro | oved | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Pι | ublic Agency: | Lake Stevens School District | DB | | Denie | ed | X |
| PRC Member: Kevin Thomas Both | | | | | | | |
| | | Certification E | valuation Cri | teria | | | |
| ΑI | I 3 must pass | to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| Α. | | the necessary experience to dete | | s projects | are | | Х |
| В. | Applicant has | necessary qualifications and expo | erience to carry o | ut the alt | ernative | | |
| | • . | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 ivery knowledge and experience; | • | | | | Х |
| | • | with appropriate construction exp | | | | | X |
| | 3. A manage | ment plan and rationale for altern | ative public work | s projects | 3; | Х | |
| | | ated success in managing public | • • | | | X | |
| | | oility to properly manage its capita appropriate project planning and | | | | X | |
| | 6. Demonstra | ated success in managing at least within the past five (5) years. | | | | Х | |
| | | oility to meet requirements of RCV | V 39.10. | | | X | |
| C. | | as resolved any audit findings rela | | projects. | L | X | |
| | Reason for Det While the applice experience. Experience. Experience. | cant demonstrated success on a coerience is evaluation criteria the | agency does not | meet. | | cy lacks o | depth in |
| | Kevin Thoi | nas | | | | | |

| Date: | September 26, 2024 | GC/CM | X | Approved | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District #4 | DB | | Denied | | X |
| PRC Member: | Tim Thomas – Bouten Construction GC3 | Both | | | | |
| | Certification Eva | luation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| A. Applicant has the necessary experience to determine which of its projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. | | | | | X | |
| B. Applicant has | necessary qualifications and experie | ence to carry o | ut the alt | ernative | | |
| | ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to ivery knowledge and experience; | Pass) | | , | X | |
| 2. Personnel | with appropriate construction exper | | · — | - | · · · | X |
| | ment plan and rationale for alternativated success in managing public wo | | s projects | ;, | X | |
| 5. Has the ab | ility to properly manage its capital fa | acilities plan in | cluding, b | out not | Х | |
| limited to, a | appropriate project planning and but ted success in managing at least or | dgeting experience ne GC/CM or E | ∍nce; and)B projec | t (as | V | |
| applicable) | within the past five (5) years. | | , , | , | X | |
| | ility to meet requirements of RCW 3 as resolved any audit findings relative | | orojects. | | X | |
| Overall Evalua Reason for Dete | tion by Committee Member | (perience withi | n SD that | | e around | d in the |
| | oncerns: - lesson learned from the LSHS projects - lesson with many upcoming projects | | | | | <u>ould</u> |
| | ate the process in getting the projec | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.270

| Date: | 9/26/24 | GC/CM | Χ | Approved | | |
|--|--|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|--------------|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District | DB | | Denied | | |
| PRC Member: | Kyle Twohig | Both | | • | | |
| | Certification E | valuation Cri | teria | | | |
| All 3 must pass | s to Pass. | | | | Pass | Fail |
| | the necessary experience to determine to determine the state of alternative contracting pr | | projects | s are | Х | |
| B. Applicant has necessary qualifications and experience to carry out the alternative contracting procedure including: (Must pass all 7 to Pass) | | | | х | | |
| • | livery knowledge and experience | | | | X | |
| 2. Personnel with appropriate construction experience; | | | | X | | |
| 3. A management plan and rationale for alternative public works projects;4. Demonstrated success in managing public works projects; | | | 5, | X | | |
| 5. Has the al | cility to properly manage its capite appropriate project planning and | al facilities plan inc | · · · | 1 | X | |
| 6. Demonstrated success in managing at least one GC/CM or DB project (as applicable) within the past five (5) years. | | | i | Х | | |
| 7. Has the al | oility to meet requirements of RC\ | | | | Х | |
| C. Public Body h | as resolved any audit findings rel | ative to previous p | orojects. | | Х | |
| Reason for Def | ation by Committee Member termination: xperience and appropriate partne | rs to successfully | delivery | projects. Me | eets statu | <u>itory</u> |
| Observations/C | Concerns: completed Gc/Cm project, it was | massive and gave | e the ST | a myriad of | lessons l | earneo |
| - | | massive and gav | e lile SL | <i>7</i> a mynau or | 16220112 1 | еаптес |
| tnat snould nei | p future projects be successful. | | | | | |
| | Digitally signed | | | | | |
| NO | bigitally signed by Twohig, Kyle Date: 2024.09.26 11:10:44-07'00' | | | | | |
| Signature | | | | | | |

Project Review Committee (PRC)

A. B.

C.

D.

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency GC/CM Project

| Date: | Thursday, 09-26-2023 | Approved | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|---|
| Public Agency: | Lake Stevens School District #4 | Denied | X |
| Project Name: | GC / CM PROJECTS | | |
| PRC Member: | Anthony Udeagbala | | |

Project Evaluation Criteria

| General Contractor/Construction Manager | | |
|---|--------|------|
| ermine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alter racting procedures: | native | |
| -ag-pre-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s-s | Pass | Fail |
| Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. | Х | |
| Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340. Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (<i>Pass if meets 1 of 6</i>) | х | |
| Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project as a heavy civil construction project. | | |
| Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | X | |
| Project delivery knowledge and experience Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | | |
| 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget | | |
| Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | X | |
| verall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member eason for Determination: | | |

O۱

Re

Presentation and submission met requirements of RCW 39.10

Observations/Concerns:

Although technical requirements were met in my view, the submitted package and its presentation was

not reassuring.