| Date: | 9/26/2024 | Approved | Χ |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|---|
| Public Agency: | Energy Northwest | Denied | |
| Project Name: | Small Modular Reactor Project | | |
| PRC Member: | Jeff Gonzalez | | |

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| Pass | Fail |
|------|---|
| X | |
| X | |
| Х | |
| Х | |
| Х | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | - |
| | x x x x x x x x x |

Fail

| Overall Evaluation by | Committee/Panel Wember |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
|-----------------------|------------------------|

Reason for Determination:

| Applicant met the requirements. | |
|---|--|
| | |
| Observations/Concerns: | |
| Very complex project. Good selection of delivery method and project team. | |
| | |

Signature

| Project Review Com Application Evalu Public Agency De | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|------|
| Date: | | roved | X |
| | 1101,000 | | |
| Public Agency: | Cherdy Workman | neu | |
| Project Name: | Small Modular Reactor | | |
| PRC Member: | Jeff Jurgensen | | |
| | Project Evaluation Criteria | | |
| | Design-Build | | |
| Determine that the alternative contract | Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requiing procedures: | | |
| A Duavida a suba | | Pass | Fail |
| | tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. | X | |
| Public bodies | qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | X | |
| | ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical | V | |
| · · | ing the construction methodology, or the selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | |
| | ne designer and the builder; or | X | |
| | savings in project delivery time would be realized. as necessary experience or team: | X | |
| | 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | | |
| | livery knowledge and experience | X | |
| | contract administration personnel with construction experience anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority | | |
| | * & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | X | |
| | of project management team with project type & scope experience | X | |
| | and appropriate construction budget | X | |
| | uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is | V | |
| | e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | | |
| E. Public Body III | as resolved any addit indings relative to previous projects. | | |
| Reason for Determin | by Committee/Panel Member ation: <u>complicated υπυρυαί φισίτες τ</u> | | |
| | | | |
| Observations/Conce | onst get design : construction ahead of | Fund'ing | |
| | | | |
| Sigo after | | | |

| Date: | September 26, 2024 | Approved | X |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------|---|
| Public Agency: | Energy Northwest | Denied | |
| Project Name: | Small Modular Reactor | | |
| PRC Member: | Art McCluskey | | |

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| Pass | Fail |
|-------------|------|
| X | |
| х | |
| Х | |
| Х | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X X X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| X | |
| | |

| Overall | Evaluation | by Committee | Panel | Member |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Overan | Lvaluation | DV COIIIIIIIIII | s/I alici | MICHIDE |

Reason for Determination:

| Application meets RCW requirements | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| Observations/Concerns: | | |
| Unique project with complex funding, VERY highly specialized work | | |
| | | |
| Art McCluskey | | |

Signature

| Date: Public Agency: Project Name: PRC Member: PRC Member: 9-26-24 Energy North West Small Modular Reactor Bret Miche | Approved Denied | $\overline{\chi}$ |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build | | |
| Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meet alternative contracting procedures: | | |
| A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not pract. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in what total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficient between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | nich the 3) is critical ciencies | ss Fail |
| 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience. 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget. D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB to knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the continuous E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | team is | |
| Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: | | |

Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Design-Build Project

Date: 4/16/2014 Approved

Public Agency: Energy Northwest Denied

Project Name: Small Modular Reactor

PRC Member: Ron Paananen

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39,10.300.

 Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

Project Review Committee (PRC)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| | V | |
|----|----|--|
| | | |
| ā- | | |
| | V | |
| | v | |
| | L- | |
| | V | |
| | ~ | |

Pass

Fail

| Overall | Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Reason | for Determination: |

| Well staffed project | w/ a w | call of | PB | experien. | 库 | There | Pelivery |
|----------------------|--------|---------|----|-----------|----------------|-------|----------|
| plan is solid. | | | | | draft re-state | | |

Observations/Concerns:

Merell Palmann Signature

| Date: | 9/26/24 | Approved | X |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------|---|
| Public Agency: | Energy Northwest | Denied | - |
| Project Name: | Small Modular Reactor | | |
| PRC Member: | Mike Pellitteri | | |

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| | Pass | Fail |
|--|-----------------------|------|
| | Х | |
| | х | |
| | Х | |
| | Х | |
| | Х | |
| | Х | |
| | Х | |
| | X | |
| | Χ | |
| | Χ | |
| | X X X X X | |
| | Х | |
| | Х | |

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

This is a very complex project and it needs a sophisticated contractor. This is a great use of PDB. Good presentation.

Observations/Concerns:

Good luck with the project.

Signature

Revised 7/27/2023

| Date: | 9/26/2024 | Appro | ved | х |
|--|---|---------|------|------|
| Public Agency: | Energy NW | Denie | d | |
| Project Name: | Reactor | | | |
| PRC Member: | Traci Rogstad | | | |
| | Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build | | | |
| Determine that the alternative contract | Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reting procedures: | equirer | | |
| Δ Provides subs | tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. | ſ | Pass | Fail |
| | qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. | - | Х | |
| Public bodies | may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | ; | | |
| | ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critic ing the construction methodology, or | al | Х | |
| in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or | | | Х | |
| 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. | | | Х | |
| | as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all) | L | | |
| • | livery knowledge and experience | | Х | |
| | contract administration personnel with construction experience | | Χ | |
| | anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority | | Х | |
| • | & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | | X | |
| 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget | | | X | |
| • | illd projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is | | Х | |
| | e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. | | X | |
| E. Public Body h | as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | | X | |
| Overall Evaluation I Reason for Determin | by Committee/Panel Member ation: | | | |
| Observations/Conce | rns: | | | |
| Traci Rogstad | | | | |
| Signature | | | | |

| Date: | Thursday, September 26, 2024 | Approved | X |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|---|
| Public Agency: | Energy Northwest | Denied | |
| Project Name: | Small Modular Reactor Project | | |
| PRC Member: | Anthony Udeagbala | | |

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (*Pass if meets 1 of 3*)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| | X | |
|--|---|--|
| | X | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | X | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | X | |
| | X | |

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Clarity of presentation and demonstration of knowledge of Progressive Design-Build process.

Presentation and submission met requirements of RCW 39.10.

Observations/Concerns:

Signature